

October 17, 2017 31558.03B

Charlie Sandberg Parks and Recreation Director City of Deer Park 710 E San Augustine St. Deer Park, TX 77536

RE: Contractor Qualifications for Deer Park Soccer

Dear Mr. Sandberg:

We have had an opportunity to tabulate the unit prices, review qualifications and references for the bidders for the Deer Park Soccer Field Development– Phase 1, located at 901 East Blvd., Deer Park.

At the time of the bid opening the low apparent low bidders were Triple B Services \$3,024,600.75, IKLO Construction \$3,126,658.00 and Tandem Services \$3,325,655.65 and this ranking was based on the bidders written Bid Form. Since that time, we have taken the bidders unit prices and tabulated their bids to check for errors and discrepancies. (See the attached Bid Tab.) The new three low bidders based on the tabulated bid tab are:

- IKLO Construction \$3,127,049.45
- Tandem Services \$3,325,655.65
- Cox Commercial \$3,530,753.84.

We have also reviewed the three low bidder's listed references. Attached is a copy of the notes from the phone interviews with the three low bidder's project references. The following is a summary of those notes.

We were able to contact three of the four references for IKLO Construction. The feedback to date from references for IKLO has been one positive, one negative, and the third reference stated they had no comment. The final reference listed by IKLO is the Deer Park Shooting Range project. IKLO has had experience working with contracts of the \$2,000,000 range and above. However, similar project experience appears to be less than the other low bidders.

We were able to contact two of the three project references for Tandem Services. Tandem Services has had recent comparable project type experience with the City of Friendswood and the feedback was positive. Friendswood Sports Park construction value was \$740,137 and the Friendswood Lake Park was \$701,000. Note that Tandem Services is also the apparent low bidder for the Deer Park Girls Softball project.

We were able to contact three of the four project references for Cox Commercial. The feedback for Cox was positive from all of their references. They appear to have comparable recent project experience with Baytown's Pirate Bay and Chambers County's Whites Park Arena. Contract values for the listed projects are of similar size ranging from \$2,000,000 to \$6,600,000.

## HALFF



Replace this with recipient's name Replace this with recipient's company name Replace with today's date Page 2

Please call with questions.

# Sincerely, HALFF ASSOCIATES, INC.

olby for

Kolby Davidson, PLA Senior Project Manager

CC: File, Tim May, Jacob Zuniga, Tiffany McGallian Attached: Bid Tab, Reference Interview Notes (3 low bidders)

15 GH Comm. Facilities

BIDDER: KLO

REFERENCE: Haniff Moton PHONE: 713-542-9346

1. We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of \_\_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them?

2. What was your overall experience working with \_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder)?

3. When did you work with them?

Habib Tai Talha Khan 4. Abdulkarim Tai and <u>Hayder Abdulka</u>re listed as superintendent for this project. Did you work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable?

 What was the scope of your project? prayer hall, class rooms, gymnasium, Utilities Site grading I be phases & assisted in progress 5 3 phases completed.
What was the value of their contract? \$ 5,000,000

7. Were they responsive to you requests?

Mrs

8. Were they responsive to your inspector?

149

9. Had you worked with them before this contract/project?

No

10. How well did they handle their subcontractors?

Were their progress billings accurate and on time?

12. Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them?

13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them?

14. Did they finish the work completely?

Hmely

15. Did they finish on time?

no set schedule. but worked well.

1 3 X

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

No ?

Would you be willing to work with them again? 18.

Yes, scural times again. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In 19. your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client ng their project? 20. regarding their project?

Fullshear Run Landscope Amn. REFERENCE: Doug Konopka (DHK) PHONE: 713-961-0033 BIDDER: KLO 1. questions about them? 1953. 10/12 primarily SF 175. What was your overall experience working with \_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder)? 2. not good experience. When did you work with them? 3. Habib Ta: Talka Abdultarim Tai and Hader are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you 4. work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable? What was the scope of your project? sidewalks, park str., free preservation, landscopping 5. What was the value of their contract? \$ 2,019,446 ... \$ 2.3 6. 7. Were they responsive to you requests? Verbally but no able get done 40%. 8. Were they responsive to your inspector? 9. Had you worked with them before this contract/project? How well did they handle their subcontractors? 10. did not handle their subs well. Were their progress billings accurate and on time? 11. 12. Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them? Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with 13. them? Did they finish the work completely? 14. 15. Did they finish on time? quanths shall have been done; but your scheduling of subs.

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

÷.,

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

		V	lood lands	Soccer	Exp		
BIDDE	R: KLO REF	FERENCE: F	Eroze B	oshir			
	PHO	ONE: 83	2-603-3	400			
1.	We recently received bids for a new sports complex (bidder) bid the project and they liste			 n I ask you	ı a few		
	A No Comment				msg. loliz		
2.	What was your overall experience working with		(bidder	)?			
3.	When did you work with them? <b>2011</b>						
4.	andare listed as	superinten	dent for this	project. D	id you		
work w	with this person on your project? If so, were they com						
5.	What was the scope of your project? fine gradi	in, freld	Darkin	alot +	Concession Stan		
	5		· [ · · ·				
6.	What was the value of their contract? $\$87,00$	0					
7.	Were they responsive to you requests?						
8.	Were they responsive to your inspector?						
9.	Had you worked with them before this contract/pro	piect?					
	····· ,··· ······ ······ ······ ········	,					
10.	How well did they handle their subcontractors?						
10.	now wentild they hundle then subcontractors:						
11.	Were their progress billings accurate and on time?						
11.	were then progress binings accurate and on time?						
10				7			
12.	Were change order negotiations professional and ea	asily process	sed with ther	nr			
13. them?	Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ie	s) during the	e course of y	our contra	ict with		
uleni:							
1.4	Did they finish the work completely?						
14.	Did they finish the work completely?						
45							
15.	Did they finish on time?						

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

4

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

BIDDER: KLO BIDDER: KLO BIDDER: KLO BIDDER: KLO BIDDER: KLO BIDDER: BIDDER: BI	ljerina							
. We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few								
stions about them?								
	10/12 10/13							
	10/14							
Habib Tai Takka K. Abdulkarim Tai and Hayder A. are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable?								
5. What was the scope of your project? Nature playground provides								
6. What was the value of their contract? \$ 416,000								
7. Were they responsive to you requests?								
8. Were they responsive to your inspector?								
9. Had you worked with them before this contract/project?								
10. How well did they handle their subcontractors?								
11. Were their progress billings accurate and on time?								
12. Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them?								
13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them?								
14. Did they finish the work completely?								
15. Did they finish on time?								

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

BIDDER:

Friendswood Sports Park & Lake Park REFERENCE: Patrick Donart PHONE: 201-996-3312

We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of \_\_\_\_\_\_ 1. (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them? What was your overall experience working with Tanken (bidder)? 2. Good Breat centr 3. When did you work with them? When did you work with them? 12/2015 to 2014 New Project Now Brandon Gaurlack Matt Land and Leo Gaurcia are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you 4. work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable? What was the scope of your project? # Sports Park: plot, grading, foundations for bldg. #<sup>2</sup> Lake Park handscape What was the value of their contract? 740, 137 \$ \$701,000 5. 6. 7. Were they responsive to you requests? Yes Were they responsive to your inspector? 8. Yes interactive in positive manuar Had you worked with them before this contract/project? 9. first proj. How well did they handle their subcontractors? 10. No subs ... maybe small crews Were their progress billings accurate and on time? 11. accurate 12. Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them? worked well; fairt easy process 13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them? 14. Did they finish the work completely? Yes Did they finish on time? 15. Yes on contract time

- 16. Did they execute a punch list in a timely manner?
- 17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive? Not that he knows... r
- 18. Would you be willing to work with them again?125
- 19. Our project is approximately  $\frac{2.7 n!l}{n!l}$  that \_\_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

Been good to work with

BIDDER: Tandem

Clein Road	Reidhyton
REFERENCE: Jimmy Thompson () PHONE: 281 - 334 - 16/1	Lemah)
PHONE: 281 - 334 - 16/1	

We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of \_\_\_\_\_\_.
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_(bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them?

- 2. What was your overall experience working with <u>Findem</u> (bidder)? 10/9
- 3. When did you work with them? 1/2017

4. <u>Math Laws</u> and <u>Brandon Garlock</u> are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable?

- 5. What was the scope of your project? \$607 K : a sphalt, Koadways, ctc.
- 6. What was the value of their contract? \$680 K
- 7. Were they responsive to you requests?
- 8. Were they responsive to your inspector?
- 9. Had you worked with them before this contract/project?
- 10. How well did they handle their subcontractors?
- 11. Were their progress billings accurate and on time?
- 12. Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them?

13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them?

- 14. Did they finish the work completely?
- 15. Did they finish on time?

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

المحيدة بالالاء فيشيران والاستنصاري ويهريه

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

BIDDER: Lox Commercial

Pirates Bay Exp. REFERENCE: Dustin Schubert (Baytown) PHONE: 281-420-6591

We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of 1. (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them? M45 10/4 2. What was your overall experience working with \_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder)? GC was soul When did you work with them? 2015 3. Bo (an and Duryne harman are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you 4. work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable? to, yes What was the scope of your project? Water park, slides, wave pool ; 2 bldgs isite work 5. \$ parking What was the value of their contract? \$6, 664, 7466. Were they responsive to you requests? 7 75 7. 8. Were they responsive to your inspector? Yts 9. Had you worked with them before this contract/project? No 10. How well did they handle their subcontractors? good, overn " few bud subs 11. Were their progress billings accurate and on time? 425 Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them? 12. & 425 Yrs Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with 13. them? No Did they finish the work completely? 14. 425 15. Did they finish on time?

weather delays

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

yes, ok w/ responshe

Would you be willing to work with them again? 18.

ALL MARK DOUBLE OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE

45

Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In 19. your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work? yes.

. 1

Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client ng their project? 20. regarding their project?

Thank you for your time and cooperation!!!

5.1

Whites Park Arena

BIDDER: Lox Commercial

REFERENCE: <u>Al Busch (Chambers Compy)</u> PHONE: <u>281-424-4853</u> 1. We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them? 10/5-10/6 What was your overall experience working with \_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder)? 10/9 2. Mixed but arerall finished on budget & time . Had shake up but when did you work with them? but ander cardral When did you work with them? 3. 2014 4. **Bo Cond** and **Dwayne thanks** are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable? Tes What was the scope of your project? Lovered avena, restrooms, concession blds, 5. Site work , site electrical What was the value of their contract? \$ 2, 604, 420 6. 7. Were they responsive to you requests? 415 8. Were they responsive to your inspector? 415 Had you worked with them before this contract/project? 9. 415 How well did they handle their subcontractors? 10. all subcontractors ) 405 Were their progress billings accurate and on time? 11. no issues Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them? 12. NO CO 13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them? NO Did they finish the work completely? 14. 425 15. Did they finish on time? 415

425

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

Yes & have

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

thinkso ... dure a lot of parks

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project? N o

### Thank you for your time and cooperation!!!

a bener affire en

MB Animal Shelter BIDDER: Lox Commercial REFERENCE: David Duman (About Belvicu) PHONE: 817/738-855 Anchitect 1. We recently received bids for a new sports complex for the City of (bidder) bid the project and they listed you as a reference. Can I ask you a few questions about them? MSS 10/6 What was your overall experience working with \_\_\_\_\_\_ (bidder)? good contractor . project was unique & understand document the project 2. When did you work with them? 3. 4. <u>Bo Cox</u> and <u>Dwayne Thanks</u> are listed as superintendent for this project. Did you work with this person on your project? If so, were they competent and capable? Norked well good relationship What was the scope of your project? CMU Blds. 1 site work, detention, parking lot 5. What was the value of their contract? \$2, 174, 3286. 7. Were they responsive to you requests? at good communication fontastic Were they responsive to your inspector? 8. Had you worked with them before this contract/project? 9. 10. How well did they handle their subcontractors? 415 11. Were their progress billings accurate and on time? 415 Were change order negotiations professional and easily processed with them? 12. No change orders 13. Did you have to deal with their bonding company(ies) during the course of your contract with them? No 14. Did they finish the work completely? Yes - schedule to be done this week Did they finish on time? 15. 4es.

## Not a problem

Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive? 17.

Not applicable Would you be willing to work with them again? 18.

Yes. tried to get them an other projects.

Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In 19. your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client 20. regarding their project?

No herda't hesitate to work with then again

		Town Pool Lady Bird Johnson Park	
	Lady Bird Johnson Park		Pool
BIDDEI	R: Cox Commercial	REFERENCE: Mark Hatchel (City Fr. PHONE: 972-770-1300	ndrisksburg)
	We recently received bids for a new sports con		
questic	ons about them?		M85 10/4
2.	What was your overall experience working with	n Cox Commercial (bidder)?	10/6
3.	When did you work with them?		<i>0</i> /4
4. work w	<u>Bo</u> Cov and <u>Duwayne Thantun</u> are lister with this person on your project? If so, were they		
5.	Lady B What was the scope of your project? New Po	ira el, pool house Esitework	
6.	What was the value of their contract?		5
7.	B \$ 2, 178, 601.00 TP \$ 1,1 Were they responsive to you requests?	9/1 286	
8.	Were they responsive to your inspector?		
9.	Had you worked with them before this contract	t/project?	
10.	How well did they handle their subcontractors?	)	
11.	Were their progress billings accurate and on tin	ne?	
12.	Were change order negotiations professional a	nd easily processed with them?	
13. them?	Did you have to deal with their bonding compared	ny(ies) during the course of your contract with	

- 14. Did they finish the work completely?
- 15. Did they finish on time?

17. Have you had any warranty work required of them? If so, were they responsive?

18. Would you be willing to work with them again?

19. Our project is approximately \$\_\_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_\_ is the apparent low bidder. In your opinion, are they capable of performing that amount of construction work?

20. Do you have any other comments of \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might be of benefit to our Client regarding their project?

#### DEER PARK SPORTS FIELDS GIRLS SOCCER FIELD DEVELOPMENT- PHASE 1

## 

<sup>11</sup> Bias text indicates where the Bidder bid on incomed quantifies (they appear to take used the incomed bid torm). <sup>11</sup> Bidder text all hown below CO KOT Include items to be partnessed by the City, such as Maxon Lighting, Shada Shuctures, Bidderba, Biaschera, and Biasen. <sup>111</sup> Puppies are indicates where the Bidder used to take itempics in both the "Utah Phot" and "RostPhot" columns, and we've calculated whet the Price to take for summary who had bid and we had not be form.

Item Description No. Quantity Unit (with unitarics is sords) 
 BOOMSTOR
 Data Brance
 Cal Contract
 One Distribution
 Distribution
 Table State
 Table State
 Distribution
 Distribution</th Enginee Unit Price Price SCHEDULE NO. 100 - MISCELLANEOUS Compliance with 100.00 1 LS of interprotection 511/27-14 511/37-14 51/32/14 52/32/14 5 \$15,000.00 \$15,000.00 chark dame, instantion and mainteners SUBTOTAL SCHEDULE NO. 100: LE NO. 200 - GENERAL SITE \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1,200.00 \$6,000.00 \$198,000.00 \$9,725.52 TDANS/TEWORK 1 EA Remove and Dispose Sign (including load 23 AC Clearing and Grubbing per Owner of Dee limits of crossits critics as abruar, on the draw 
 \$10.50
 \$500.00
 \$200.00
 \$1,723.74
 \$1,723.74

 \$48,242.50
 \$4,000.00
 \$122,000.00
 \$7,000.00
 \$221,000.00
 \$500.00 \$7,000.0 \$7,470.68 \$246,532.44 \$198,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$221,000.00 \$17,000.00 \$561,00 56,787.15 \$5,000.00 2 31,655 CY \$8.19 \$259,254.45 \$4.50 \$142,447.50 \$5.75 \$182,016.3 \$1.72 \$54,446.0 \$6.50 \$205,757.50 \$4.00 \$6.00 \$189,930.00 \$4.92 \$155,742.60 \$5.00 \$11.00 \$3.50 \$110,792.50 \$351.00 \$31.00 \$775.00 \$26.00 \$650.00 \$72.00 \$1,800.00 \$21.00 \$30.00 \$750.00 \$18.84 \$471.00 \$825.00 \$17.00 \$425.00 \$12.00 \$25.00 200.05 344 LF 2 1.0" PVC Water Li Discus \$16.38 \$5,634.72 \$37.50 \$12,900.00 \$28.25 \$9,718.00 \$23.10 \$7,946.40 \$25.00 \$8,600.00 \$21.00 \$7,224.00 \$37.00 \$12,728.00 \$21.98 \$7,561.12 \$44.00 \$15,136.00 \$20.00 \$6,800.00 \$12.00 \$4,128.00 \$26.02 \$27.00 \$9,288.00 \$8,950.57 \$42.00 \$14,574.00 \$36.11 \$12,530.17 \$42.00 \$14,574.00 \$356.00 \$1,922.10 \$34.00 \$56.00 \$51,922.10 \$14,574.00 \$38.00 \$875.00 \$22.60 \$1.085.00 \*\*\*\*\*\* \$9,022.00 \$24.00 \$840.00 \$10.00 \$1800.00 \$12.00 20036 347 LF 41 PVC Water Line, C-600 DR-18, C Complete in Stoce 20037 25 LF 41 triadion Sterve, SCH 40 PVC, D \$13,186.00 \$46.00 \$26.00 \$21.00 \$7,287.00 \$14.00 \$18.00 \$620.00 \$30.00 \$21.00 \$725.00 \$30.00 \$30.00 \$25.00 \$7\$1.00 \$29.33 \$991.55 \$10.53 \$368.55 \$25.00 \$24.00 \$350.00 \$910.00 \$1,050.00 \$24.96 \$873.47 \$875.00 
 Mode
 Figs
 Marg
 Figs
 <th \$1,050,00 \$29,90 \$5,000,00 \$5,108,18 \$6,000,00 \$3,125,76 \$1,050,00 \$2,500,00 \$4,000,00 
 Phase
 Links
 Dials
 <th 1 LS 12" Water Line Offset (including all fittings and pipe), Complet 313 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server 5" 10" Depth. Complete In 647 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server, 10"-15 Depth. Complete In 522 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server, 10"-15 Depth. Complete In 523 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server, 10"-15 Depth. Complete In 524 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server, 10"-15 Depth. Complete In 525 LF 6" SCH 40 PVC Sentery Server, 10" Sentery Server, 10" Server, 10" Sentery Server, 10 U14 and 3.15 23 LF 12" Sanitary Seven 1 Place 0.16 5 EA Precat Rainforced Corrolnts h Place E4 4" Sanitary Seven 1 Corrolnts h Place 200.18 1 EA (\* Sanbary Sever Plag 200.19 1 ES (\* Sanbary Sever Plag 200.19 1 ES (\* Face Main Offine (reckets all fittings and pipe), Complete in Place \$5,000.00 \$5,000.00 
 \$72.00
 \$7,900.00
 \$67.79
 \$7,466.90

 \$298.00
 \$81.118.00
 \$902.85
 \$7,507.30

 \$900.00
 \$4,320.00
 \$84.74
 \$4,067.52

 \$71.50
 \$7,665.00
 \$85.09

 \$98.00
 \$8.056.00
 \$138.47

 \$90.00
 \$4.201.00
 \$138.46
 \$10,459,90 \$82.00 \$11,436,54 \$120.00 \$6,665.28 \$99.00 200.21 82 UF 2019DE Al Decha Holdes 200.22 48 UF 2019DE Al Decha Holdes Bedd 200.22 48 UF 2118CP, Stars Sever, ASTM C78, CLASS 1 80-445 7 \$46.69 \$69.42 \$85.00 \$110.00 \$35.00 \$5,135.90 \$5,692.44 \$55.00 \$6,050.00 \$88.00 \$7,216.00 \$10,459.90 \$9,020.00 \$9,840.00 \$46.00 \$5,060.00 \$80.00 \$6,560.00 \$3,850.00 \$63.68 \$5.300.00 \$93.26 \$4,067.52 \$82.67 \$70.20 \$3,369.60 \$93.00 \$4,016.16 \$90.00 \$4,320.00 \$95.00 \$4,560.00 \$4,752.00 \$71.00 \$3,408.00 \$70.00 \$3,360.00 \$89.32 \$4.287.14 48 D Backell Connels 235 LF 24' RCP, Stom Complete In Dis 788 LF 30' RCP, Stom 10.00 \$36,850.00 \$102.81 \$34,441 
 Bill
 <th 
 \$11000
 \$102,41
 \$142,41
 \$122,00
 \$27,700.00

 \$130,00
 \$120,00
 \$126,00
 \$99,200,00
 \$108,82
 \$85,750.16
 200.24 788 LF \$142.40 \$110,635.20 \$90.00 \$70,920.00 
 NULL
 Local
 Market
 Science
 Scie 
 \$5,000.00
 \$2,000.00
 \$4,000.00

 \$16,000.00
 \$5,000.00
 \$5,400.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$5,000.00
 \$5,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00

 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$20,000.00
 \$13,185.90 \$32,457.60 \$4,057.20 EA PR \$4,400.00 \$1,600.00 \$6,000 \$12,000.00 \$17,600.00 \$7,500.00 \$3,000.0 \$2,200.00 \$7,500.01 \$21,600.00 \*\*\* 4/0.00 \$2,000.00 \$16,000.00 \$2,220.66 \$3,500.00 \$4,365.87 \$20,000.00 \$12,800.00 \$4,057.20 \$6,400.00 \$4,057.20 \$2,700.00 
 Image
 <th 25.500.00 55.000 54.000.00 55.00 58.405.00 56.00 55.00 58.00 \$4.600.00 \$5.000.00 \$10,122.00 \$1.00 \$2,688.00 \$1.00 
 \$5,000.00
 \$4,100.00
 \$4,000.00
 \$15,214,50

 \$1,647,00
 \$2,50
 \$4,217,50
 \$1,27

 \$1,660,00
 \$2,50
 \$6,000,00
 \$1,27
 \$15,214,50 \$2,142,49 \$487,68 \$5.000.00 \$6.165.53 \$1,687.00 \$2.28 \$6.165.53 \$3,852.49 \$5.000.00 \$1,687.00 0.31 647 LF T. 0.32 532 LF T. Electrical \$872.49 \$5.00 \$675.64 \$5.00 \$8,037.90 \$2.40 \$9,336.60 \$2.40 \$1,648.80 \$2.26 \$1,276.80 \$2.26 \$68.70 \$6.00 \$53.20 \$8.00 \$11.70 \$1,552.62 \$3.11 \$1,202.32 \$5.60 \$2,136.57 \$2,979.20 \$0.10 \$4,122.00 \$2.50 \$4,256.00 \$2.50 \$1,717.50 \$1.27 \$1,300.00 \$1.27 \$3,435.00 \$2,660.00 \$8.00 \$5,496.00 \$1.00 \$4,788.00 \$1.00 \$687.00 \$3.94 \$532.00 \$4.97 \$2,706.78 \$687.00 5125.00 \$200.00 \$200.00 \$200.00 \$250.00 \$250.00 \$250.00 \$220.07.20 \$250.07.20 \$250.00 \$4500.0 EA Seo \$5,000.00 \$7,000.00 \$7,000.00 \$8,643.55 \$8,643.55 \$5,000.00 \$31,443.00 \$62,535.00 \$8,050.00 200.34 2,620 LF 0 200.35 5,685 LF 0 200.35 5,685 LF 0 \$3.23 \$11.58 \$12.40 \$17,000.00 \$3.72 \$42,353.25 \$4.06 \$4,657.50 \$5.94 \$9,745.40 \$4.10 \$23,081.10 \$4.47 \$3,415.50 \$6.55 \$10,742.00 \$25,411.95 \$10.50 \$10.00 \$13.00 \$27,510.00 \$11.00 \$56,850.00 \$10.75 \$7,475.00 \$13.00 \$28,820.00 \$61,113.75 \$7,475.00 \$11.20 \$10.50 \$13.50 \$29,344.00 \$1 \$59,892.50 N \$7,762.50 \$2 \$50,251,60 \$12,00 NA \$11,00 \$12,161,25 \$14,00 \$28,820.00 \$59,124.00 \$7,590.00 \$34,060.00 \$9.59 \$68,220.00 \$9.22 \$9,200.00 \$12.44 \$32,750.00 20037 1,200 LF Conduit 20038 5,600 LF Conduit 200.40 1,200 LF Conductor INO 11 Itensited 200.41 23,755 LF Conductor INO 61 Itensited 2004z 6,560 C Contuctor IND, 100 (Insultant 20043 10,690 LF Conductor IND, 100 (Insultant 20044 825 LF Conductor IND, 120 (Insultant 200.46 1 EA Cabinet 200.47 1 EA Main Disc 200.48 1 EA Parel H (2-section) 200.49 1 EA Control XFMR I EA 112.50VA
EA TV55
EA Listing RAB Listing role and face 150 est LED to
EA Listing RAB Listing role for but had face. 150 est LED to
Generating Scale final and face. SCHEDULE NO. 300 - PAVING 200.00 727 SY 4\* Reinforced Concrete Sidewalk, Conclete In Place 200.01 4,153 SY 5\* Reinforced Concrete Pavement, Complete In Place 
 Side
 Divide
 Divide
 Divide
 Mode
 Divide
 Mode
 Divide
 <thDivide</th>
 <thDivide</th>
 <thDivide</th>
 30000 5,852 SY 6' Beinforced Concente Paven 30000 254 SY 7' Peinforced Concente Paven 30004 67 EA Concent Wheelstoo Steping (including but not limits 30005 1 LS lot mitrign, in lare striping, ar \$9,360.00 \$8,360.00 \$10,000.00 \$10,000.00 \$10,055.22 \$10,055.22 \$11,756.35 \$11,756.35 \$8,900.00 \$8,500.00 \$14,000.00 \$14,000.00 \$5,500.00 \$5,501.00 \$11,884.00 \$11,884.00 \$10,000.00 \$10,000.00 \$9,000.00 \$9,000.00 \$9,000.00 \$9,000.00 \$9,950.51 \$9,950.51 \$15,000.00 \$15,000.00 200.06 3,926 SF TrueGrid Pro Plus Gras installed 
 Sile
 <th 300.07 295 TONS 7%1 \$175.00 \$51,625.00 200.08 12,762 SY 8-inch Subgrade Preparation (includin compaction to 55% of ASTM D 698 SUBTOTAL SCHEDULE NO. 309 \$5.48 
 SAULEX
 SAUD
 STATUM
 SAUD
 \$63,810.00 \$5.20 \$66,362.40 \$4.00 \$51,048.00 \$4.29 \$644.455.00 \$55,978.77 \$5.00 \$721,963.99 \$63,010.00 SCHEDULE NO. 400 - SITE HARDSCAPE 
 Bits
 Diam
 <thDiam</th>
 Diam
 Diam
 <thD 400.00 120 LF Seatsalls with Cap (Incl. Controles In Elevel 400.01 11 EA Fload Pice Bollands 400.02 1 LS Entry Swing Gate (Incl.) 400.03 719 LF Banise Peak Cable Fig. \$5,500,00 \$2,000,00 \$10,650,00 \$12,000.00 \$6,326.22 \$6,326.22 \$14,200.00 \$20.96 \$14,878.37 400.05 120 LF
Brit Chains Frence with later (Industrie)
Sec. 2000 - 20000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 - 2000 
 tune
 050
 trans
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160
 160< \$4,795.00 \$14.00 \$6,240.00 \$47.00 \$2,000.00 \$1,650.00 
 \$1.98.00
 \$25.00
 \$3.45.00
 \$19.23

 \$5.640.00
 \$50.00
 \$6.000
 \$60.73

 \$1.650.00
 \$1,700.00
 \$1,700.00
 \$2,227.04
 \$82.43 \$7,287,49 \$40.00 EA 8" ht. Chain Link Double Gate with stats (12. gate materials) Signage 400.07 \$1,088.00 \$800.00 EA Dedication Plaque SUBTOTAL SCHEDULE NO. 400: \$175.00 \$175.00 \$1.000.000 5000.00 \$2,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,000.00 \$1,007.68 \$1,000.00 SCHEDULE NO. 500 - REVEG/LANDSCAPE /IRRIGATION 
 PARME
 <th 500.01 38,400 SY Toppol to Fields (4' at field areas only import place 500.02 14.0 AC Hydromich Common Bernuda (inclusion lower-\$215,043.00 \$67,900.00 Field Grass Sprigging, 'TF 419' (no \$59,161.77 \$460,106.89 \$52,908.90 \$378,098.90 \$48,099.00 \$1.89 \$90,907.11 \$108,222.75 \$654,622.75 \$499,773.25 \$72,148.50 \$373,548.50 \$64,173,25 \$497,309,25 \$53,503.95 \$530,903.95 \$61,768.30 \$48,099.00 \$749,299.00 \$70,924.16 \$521,213.26 \$96,198.00 SCHEDULE NO. 600 - BUILDINGS 
 DB/7.16
 DB/7.16
 Decode
 Decode
 HORSe
 HORSe
 REGIS
 REGIS
 PU/ref
 Heritike
 Heritike
 Discription
 Discription 1 \$500,000.00 \$550,000.00 \$553,007.05 \$553,007.05 3 \$566,666.86 \$553,007.05 SCHEDULE NO. 700 - OWNER CONTINGENCY \$128,003.00 \$128,003.00 Owner Contingency (lunds to be used a SUBTOTAL SCHEDULE NO 200-TOTAL BASE BID SCHEDULES NO. 100 THROUGH 700: NAMES IN THE DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO \$3,899,843.61 \$3,861,845.00 Ait # Description Add building bundelon preparation and electrical an EA with site work and installation with Owner Initiacion or Building
EA Deduct Custom ConcessionRestroom Building (per per orcicada) 17.4.4 17.4.4 17.4.4 19.0.4 19 
 ABATE
 CANADI
 <thCANAII</th>
 <thCANAII</th>
 <thCANAII</th> 40.099 SY #/ \$211,154w1 -\$59,161.77 -\$1.00 -\$81,900.00 -\$4.50 -\$212,960.00 -\$18.00 300 DAYS 270 DAYS 300 DAYS 318 DAYS NUMBER OF DAYS 330 DAYS 330 DAYS 300 DAYS 320 DAYS 330 DAYS 360 DAYS 300 DAYS 360 DAYS Bid Form 00300 Bid Bond or other accestable bid security Conflict of Internet Disclosure Statement Non-Collusion Affidavit Completed Section 00400 – Statement of Bido